



Enhancing Public Trust and Participation in Jury Service

Matthew J. Dolliver, University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa

Jury service plays a critical role in ensuring community involvement in the justice system and reinforcing the legitimacy of legal proceedings. Research shows that jury service can increase trust in the courts and promote civic engagement. However, understanding the factors that lead to these positive outcomes and encouraging broader participation in jury service remains challenging.

The Transformative Effect of Jury Service

Jury service **positively impacts jurors' trust in the justice system**. Deliberating on a case allows jurors to engage directly with legal processes, fostering a sense of fairness and justice. Additionally, respectful treatment by legal authorities and the ability to act on issues of law and justice **contribute to positive juror experiences**.

1. **Deliberative Process:** Participating in deliberations provides jurors with a deeper understanding of the judicial process and a sense of responsibility.
2. **Positive Interactions:** Respectful and transparent interactions with legal authorities during the course of jury duty enhance trust in the justice system.
3. **Empowerment:** Jury service empowers citizens by giving them a voice in the judicial process and reinforcing democratic principles. Following jury duty, people are more likely to be civically engaged, including volunteering and voting in elections.

Challenges to Jury Participation

Despite its benefits, jury service faces challenges such as low response rates, minority distrust of the courts, and declining use of jury trials. Addressing these issues is crucial for maintaining the jury as a pillar of our justice system.

1. **Low Response Rates:** It is estimated that nationwide, approximately 30% of people do not respond to jury summons, making it difficult to seat diverse juries.
2. **Minority Distrust:** Historical distrust of the courts among racial minorities results in lower participation rates, leading to less representative juries. More diverse juries bring different thoughts and experiences that increase the quality of deliberations.
3. **Decline in Jury Trials:** The increasing reliance on plea bargaining reduces the frequency of jury trials, limiting public exposure to and engagement with the judicial system. This also limits the community's oversight of prosecutors. Citizen review boards are a great way to involve the community, reduce stress on the system, and increase jury participation.

Policy Recommendations

Media consumption influences public perceptions of the justice system. Legal dramas and crime-related TV shows can foster interest in legal careers and shape attitudes toward jury service. Shows that positively depict the justice system can increase interest in jury service and enhance trust in the process. Research on cultivation theory suggests that targeted media can foster a positive disposition toward jury service.

Hence, to address challenges and enhance public participation in jury service, policymakers should adopt strategies that leverage media influence on jury service. At the same time, **policymakers should also look to promote positive experiences during jury service.**

My research shows there are four key actions policymakers can take to accomplish both of these goals:

1. **Targeted Media Campaigns:** Develop campaigns that normalize jury service and highlight its importance in ensuring justice. Utilize TV, radio, social media, and mailers to spread these messages.
2. **Community Engagement:** Create programs that encourage conversations about the value of jury service. Distribute "I served" tokens to start discussions and establish jury ambassadors to answer questions in the community. Create rotating citizen review panels ("juries") for plea-bargained cases.
3. **Inclusive Messaging:** Focus on underrepresented populations by promoting messages of inclusion and representation. Ensure that these communities understand the importance of their participation in the justice system.
4. **Training and Education:** Educate legal professionals and the public about the importance of jury service. Provide resources and training to ensure effective participation and positive experiences. This includes ensuring jurors are included in the deliberative process, being treated courteously by court authorities, and having necessities such as childcare, parking, and automated reminders provided.

Conclusion

Enhancing public trust and participation in jury service is essential for the legitimacy and effectiveness of the justice system. Ensuring that those who are in service have a positive experience is also critical. Policymakers can cultivate a broader, more engaged jury pool by leveraging media influence to promote inclusive, positive narratives and ensuring jurors are provided for during their service. These efforts will help ensure that juries represent the community and that the judicial process remains fair and just.